
Support Request #17726555 - Reddit's Criminal Hosting of Defamatory Content

From Soul Legion <legionkillfeed@outlook.com>

Date Thu 2026-04-30 9:41 AM

To legal@reddit.com <legal@reddit.com>

Reddit Legal,

Before you cry about a direct email, it's been two days since you illegally refused to even engage with the complaint. This is a further formal legal notice, preservation demand, and escalation following Reddit's non-response and misclassification of this matter as only an "Australia Restricted Content" issue.

If Reddit refuses to remove or restrict the URLs, I request a written human-reviewed decision that answers the following:

1. Has Reddit been paid by Joel Lenny Walker from "Make DayZ Great Again Killfeed - mdzga.com" or Mathew Trojanowski from "DayZ Skynet - killfeed.com" to host this defamation while using arbitrary forms to avoid your legal responsibilities?
 - 1a. If yes, how much were you paid to facilitate this criminal act?
 - 1b. Is this the reason you allowed a moderator to violate your Terms of Service with their selective moderation?
2. Was this request reviewed by a human in Reddit Legal or Trust & Safety?
3. What jurisdiction or jurisdictions did Reddit assess?
4. What legal basis did Reddit assess?
5. Why does Reddit consider the listed material lawful to continue hosting after repeated notice?
6. Why does Reddit consider false allegations of hacking, fraud, data theft, malicious code and server destruction to be ordinary criticism?
7. Why did Reddit treat this as only an Australia Restricted Content issue when the complaint expressly raises defamation, commercial disparagement, harassment, cyber abuse, and competitor platform manipulation?
8. What action will Reddit take regarding the apparent selective removal of rebuttals/supportive comments while leaving competitor-friendly defamatory material accessible?
9. What is the correct Reddit legal pathway if Reddit says the prior reporting pathway is not the correct channel?
10. Why does Reddit's platform prevent the affected account from reporting abusive content after the abusive accounts block the affected account?
11. What remedy or escalation path does Reddit provide when blocking is used to obstruct reporting?
12. What records will Reddit preserve regarding this request and prior related notices?
13. Will Reddit preserve records showing who blocked the affected account, when the blocks occurred, and whether those blocks affected the report interface or reporting availability?

Reddit is now on repeated actual notice that it is continuing to host, preserve, distribute, make searchable, and enable access to defamatory and abusive material targeting an Australian adult, a sole trader, and that sole trader's service. Reddit's continued failure to conduct a proper human legal review after repeated notice is not neutral platform operation. It is a post-notice decision to keep publishing and enabling access to false factual allegations of criminality, fraud, hacking, data theft, malicious code, server destruction, token misuse, and customer sabotage.

Your last response did not answer the legal complaint. It incorrectly stated that the Australia Restricted Content Reporting Form is exclusively for content categories covered under the Australian Online Safety Act and related Industry Safety Codes, then redirected the affected person back to ordinary Reddit reporting tools. That response ignored the actual complaint: defamation, targeted harassment, cyber abuse, commercial disparagement, competitor-linked platform manipulation, false allegations of criminal conduct, moderation failure, and preservation obligations.

Reddit cannot avoid notice by forcing this matter into the wrong form, then pretending the complaint has failed because that narrow form does not fit the entire legal issue. This is not a single-channel restricted-content complaint. This is a multi-jurisdictional legal complaint and litigation-preservation notice.

Reddit also cannot fairly direct the affected person back to ordinary account reporting when Reddit's own platform design prevents reporting from the affected account after the reported users block that account. I cannot even report some of the material from my account because the reported accounts blocked me, and Reddit's platform fails to allow blocked users to submit a report from the affected account. That means the same users responsible for the abuse can also interfere with the victim's ability to report the abuse through Reddit's ordinary tools. Reddit has been told this reporting-path failure exists. Sending me back to the report button after notice is therefore not a good-faith remedy; it is a defective loop.

The affected person is an Australian adult and sole trader. The affected service is personally operated by that sole trader. This is not a detached corporation with separate brand damage. The same individual personally operates the service, personally provides support, personally receives the abuse, and personally suffers the harm.

The reported Reddit thread and comments are not ordinary criticism or fair consumer opinion. They are part of a sustained, competitor-linked abuse and defamation campaign involving Reddit, Facebook/Meta, Discord, Trustpilot, Google search visibility, hostile websites, and competitor-linked domains.

The material falsely alleges or implies that the affected sole trader is a scammer, criminal, hacker, dangerous, mentally unstable, malicious, unsafe to deal with, or responsible for stealing files, selling customer data, destroying servers, abusing FTP/token access, SQL injection, wiping communities, or using malicious code.

These are serious factual allegations. They are false. They are not protected opinion. They are being used to damage an identifiable Australian adult and sole trader, interfere with that person's business, and divert users searching for the affected service or related services.

The competitor context is material. The reported Reddit material includes repeated comments attributed in evidence to a competing service operator using multiple aliases. The same thread also contains competitor recommendations and repeated claims that users should avoid the affected service and use alternatives.

Reddit is now on repeated notice that this content is not neutral public discussion. It is competitor-linked reputational sabotage, cyber abuse, and defamatory publication targeting a sole trader personally through that person's business identity.

The harm is ongoing because Reddit continues to host the thread and comments after notice. Reddit is not being asked to mediate a private disagreement. Reddit is being told that its platform is publishing and preserving false factual allegations of criminality, dishonest conduct, hacking, data theft, malicious code, server sabotage, token misuse, and fraud against an identifiable Australian adult and sole trader.

Ordinary Reddit reporting paths have failed. The report button has failed. The legal support pathway has failed. Reddit's own blocking/reporting design prevents the affected account from reporting some of the material after the abusive accounts block the affected person. Reddit has now been directly told that its own platform architecture is being used to obstruct reporting. A response directing the victim back to the same broken reporting loop is not meaningful review, not reasonable escalation, and not good-faith complaint handling.

Applicable legal issues include:

1. Australia – Defamation Act 2005 (NSW)

The Reddit material identifies the affected sole trader and the affected service. It carries serious defamatory imputations, including fraud, hacking, data theft, malicious code, server destruction, token abuse, SQL injection, scamming and dishonest business conduct. These imputations are likely to cause, and have caused, serious harm to the affected person's personal reputation and sole trader business.

2. Australia – Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth)

The Reddit material forms part of targeted cyber abuse against an Australian adult. It is menacing, harassing and offensive in context, including repeated targeted abuse, allegations of criminality, abuse involving personal identity and family context, and repeated attempts to drive harassment, ostracism and commercial exclusion against the affected person.

3. Australia – Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth), s 474.17

The use of online services to publish repeated targeted allegations and abuse may be relevant to the use of a carriage service to menace, harass or cause offence, especially where the content forms part of a repeated course of conduct and has been repeatedly reported.

4. Australia – Australian Consumer Law / commercial disparagement context

Where competitor-linked actors use false claims to divert customers, damage trade, or mislead users about the affected service's safety, legitimacy, security, or honesty, the conduct may also raise misleading or deceptive conduct, injurious falsehood, malicious falsehood, and commercial disparagement issues.

5. United States – Defamation, trade libel, unfair competition, and Reddit's own post-notice conduct

Reddit may seek to rely on Section 230 for third-party content, but Section 230 does not make the original publisher's statements lawful and does not prevent Reddit from voluntarily removing or restricting unlawful, defamatory, abusive, manipulated, or policy-violating material. It also does not answer Reddit's own complaint-handling conduct, preservation obligations, escalation failures, moderation conduct, platform-design failures, or representations about safety and reporting pathways.

The reported material raises state-law defamation and trade libel/commercial disparagement issues because it asserts false facts about theft, hacking, data misuse, malicious code, customer sabotage, server destruction, and fraud. If competitor-linked, it may also support unfair competition and false commercial disparagement arguments.

6. United States – Cyberstalking / harassment context

Where online services are used as part of a course of conduct intended to harass, intimidate, or cause substantial emotional distress, 18 U.S.C. § 2261A may be relevant. The reported Reddit thread and comments are part of a wider pattern of targeted conduct and should not be assessed as isolated comments.

7. United Kingdom – Defamation Act 2013

The Reddit material raises serious-harm defamation issues if published, accessed, indexed, or relied on in the UK.

Allegations of hacking, fraud, data theft, malicious code, server destruction, and dishonest business conduct are grave factual imputations.

8. United Kingdom – Protection from Harassment Act 1997 and Online Safety Act 2023

The repeated hostile thread context may support harassment analysis where it forms part of a course of conduct causing alarm or distress. False or threatening communications may also be relevant where false material is published with intent to cause harm.

9. Canada – Civil defamation, defamatory libel, criminal harassment, and false information

The material raises Canadian civil defamation issues because the statements tend to lower the affected person's reputation, refer to the affected person/service, and are published to others. Depending on evidence and intent, Criminal Code provisions concerning defamatory libel, false information conveyed with intent to injure or alarm, criminal harassment, and harassing communications may also be relevant.

I request that Reddit now:

1. escalate this matter to Reddit Legal, Trust & Safety, Compliance, and any team responsible for legal complaints, platform abuse, data preservation, and data-protection obligations;
2. reassess the reported Reddit thread and comments as a legal complaint involving defamation, commercial disparagement, targeted harassment, cyber abuse, competitor-linked platform manipulation, and false allegations of criminal conduct;
3. remove, restrict, quarantine, or disable access to the reported defamatory and abusive material;
4. preserve all records connected to the reported URLs, accounts, subreddit, moderator actions, Automoderator actions, deleted comments, edited comments, internal notes, Zendesk records, triage labels, escalation notes, ticket history, and prior related reports;
5. preserve records showing whether the reported users blocked the affected account and whether that prevented the affected account from reporting the content through Reddit's normal reporting interface;
6. confirm the correct Reddit legal pathway if Reddit maintains that the Australia Restricted Content Reporting Form is not the correct pathway;
7. confirm whether Reddit will treat the reported accounts and subreddit activity as coordinated abuse, platform manipulation, bad-faith commercial activity, or competitor-linked abuse;
8. provide a written human-reviewed decision if Reddit refuses removal or restriction;
9. identify the legal or policy basis relied on if Reddit continues hosting the material after notice.

This is repeat notice. Reddit has been told the specific URLs, the exact allegations, the false and abusive nature of the content, the competitor-linked context, the failure of ordinary Reddit reporting pathways, the blocking/reporting defect preventing reports from the affected account, and the fact that an Australian adult and sole trader is personally harmed by this material.

This request does not seek suppression of genuine criticism. It seeks removal or restriction of defamatory and abusive material falsely accusing an identifiable Australian adult and sole trader of criminal conduct, hacking, fraud, data theft, malicious code and customer sabotage.

The affected person's legal identity and contact details are provided only in the signature and prior support records. They are not repeated throughout this public-facing explanation because legal complaints may be copied, republished, indexed, or attached to transparency records.

https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/legion_kill_feed_whats_souls_issue/

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kwcjm4s/>

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kywtf93/>

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kteombn/>

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kjskiyb/>

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/ktpfv26/>

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kyv8inc/>

<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kyy8xq7/>
<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kyxztrs/>
<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/nreb800/>
<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/Int64ot/>
<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/Int7b2s/>
<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/ldsqli3/>
<https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/15a15bj/>

REQUESTED ACTION:

I request that Reddit remove, restrict, quarantine, or disable access to the listed Reddit thread and comments, and preserve all related account, moderation, edit, deletion, report, escalation, blocking, platform-interface, and internal review records. I also request that Reddit stop treating this as a single-channel Australia Restricted Content report. This is a multi-jurisdictional legal complaint involving defamation, commercial disparagement, cyber abuse, harassment, platform manipulation, false allegations of criminal conduct, and Reddit's own defective reporting path where blocked users cannot report from the affected account.

This is repeat formal notice. Reddit has been told the specific URLs, the defamatory imputations, the personal and commercial targeting, the competitor-linked context, the failed reporting pathways, the platform reporting defect, and the fact that an Australian adult and sole trader is being directly harmed.

The affected person's legal identity and contact details are already known to Reddit through the support ticket and prior correspondence.

ITEMISED EXPLANATION OF REPORTED URLS

The affected person is an Australian adult and sole trader. The affected service is personally operated by that sole trader. These URLs should be assessed as a connected pattern of defamation, targeted cyber abuse, competitor-linked harassment, and platform manipulation, not as isolated criticism.

For each URL below, the legal issue is that the material either directly publishes false factual allegations, republishes and amplifies those allegations, encourages harassment, uses competitor-linked attack material to divert users, or forms part of the same coordinated campaign. The affected person's legal identity is already known to Reddit through the support ticket and prior correspondence.

1. https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/legion_kill_feed_whats_souls_issue/

WHAT THIS URL IS: This is the main Reddit thread. It targets the affected service and its operator. It frames the operator as abusive, paranoid, unsafe, and a "serious problem" in the relevant community. It warns users not to click links from that person and tells users to remove the service from their servers.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This thread operates as the central Reddit source for later defamatory comments. It is harmful because Reddit hosts the whole thread and allows it to remain publicly accessible, searchable, linkable, and available to search engines. The thread is not limited to a personal bad experience; it invites and preserves wider allegations of criminality, hacking, fraud, malicious code, and unsafe business conduct.

AUSTRALIA: The thread gives rise to defamation issues under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) because it identifies the affected person/service and carries or republishes serious imputations likely to cause serious harm. It also supports an adult cyber-abuse complaint under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth) when assessed with the full thread and related harassment context.

UNITED STATES: This raises defamation issues under applicable state law where false factual claims are made or republished. It may also support harassment/cyberstalking context where the thread forms part of a course of conduct intended to harass or intimidate through online services.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises serious-harm defamation issues under the Defamation Act 2013 if accessed, indexed, or relied on within UK jurisdiction. The repeated hostile thread context may also support harassment analysis under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation issues because the thread identifies the affected person/service, is published to others, and tends to lower reputation. The repeated nature of the thread and comments may also support harassment or false-information concerns if assessed with the wider campaign.

2. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kwcjm4s/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment claims the affected service exists to collect and sell customer files, that the operator deleted server files, destroyed multiple servers where the operator had FTP access, and is known as a scammer in related communities.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is a direct false factual accusation of theft, data misuse, destruction of customer property, unauthorised access/abuse, and fraud. It does not read as opinion. It presents serious allegations as

facts and tells users this is “JUST who” the affected person is. The purpose and effect are to make users afraid to trust the service.

AUSTRALIA: This is defamatory under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) because it imputes criminal and dishonest conduct and is likely to cause serious harm. It also supports cyber-abuse context under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth) because it is part of repeated targeted abuse against an Australian adult.

UNITED STATES: This raises state-law defamation and trade libel/commercial disparagement issues because it asserts false facts about theft, file misuse, destruction, and fraud. Where made by or for a competitor, it may also support unfair competition arguments.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises Defamation Act 2013 serious-harm issues because allegations of theft, fraud, and destruction of customer data are grave factual imputations. It may also form part of harassment if repeated with other posts.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation issues because the words would lower reputation, refer to the affected person/service, and are published. It may also raise Criminal Code false-information or defamatory-libel concerns if the sender knew the allegations were false and intended to injure or alarm.

3. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kywtf93/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment claims there have been “hundreds of complaints”, that the service downloads custom files from customers, that the operator sells them for profit, and that the operator is a known scammer.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is a false factual accusation of customer data theft, commercial misuse of customer files, and fraud. It is not a review of service quality. It tells readers the service steals customer files and sells them, which is a serious allegation of criminal/dishonest conduct and directly damages user trust.

AUSTRALIA: This is defamatory under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), especially because it alleges dishonest and potentially criminal business conduct. It also contributes to the targeted adult cyber-abuse pattern under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: This raises state-law defamation and commercial disparagement/trade libel issues. If competitor-linked, it may also support false advertising/unfair competition arguments where false claims about another service are used in a commercial context.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises Defamation Act 2013 issues because accusations of stealing and selling customer files are likely to cause serious harm and financial loss. It may also support harassment-pattern evidence.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation because it refers to the affected service/operator, is published, and would lower reputation. If knowingly false and intended to injure or alarm, it may also raise Criminal Code false-information concerns.

4. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kteombn/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment contains personal abuse and claims the operator threatened to remove a customer's service access. It forms part of the overall hostile narrative that the operator mistreats customers and operates abusively.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: Standing alone, this is less serious than the hacking, theft, or fraud accusations. However, it remains relevant because it contributes to the thread's cumulative defamatory meaning: that the operator is abusive, threatening, unprofessional, and unsafe to deal with. In the wider thread, it supports the pile-on and helps keep the hostile thread active.

AUSTRALIA: This supports the serious-harm and cyber-abuse context under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) and Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth), especially when assessed as part of the whole thread and repeated campaign.

UNITED STATES: This may support defamation or false-light style concerns depending on the exact facts and state law, and it is relevant as evidence of online harassment/pile-on conduct.

UNITED KINGDOM: This may support harassment-pattern evidence under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 and may contribute to serious-harm defamation context under the Defamation Act 2013.

CANADA: This may support civil defamation context and repeated-harassment context where it forms part of a larger course of published abuse.

5. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kjskiyb/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment calls the operator insane, paranoid, abusive, dishonest and commercially incompetent. It also promotes the idea that another service works without problems while the affected service does not.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This comment is legally relevant because it does more than insult. It reinforces a factual narrative that the affected person is mentally unstable, abusive, dishonest, and unfit to run the service. It also steers users toward competitors. In context, it forms part of a commercially damaging and personally targeted campaign.

AUSTRALIA: This contributes to defamation under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), especially where the meaning is that the affected person is mentally unstable, abusive, dishonest and professionally incompetent. It also contributes to the cyber-abuse pattern under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: This may be treated partly as opinion if isolated, but in context it supports defamation and commercial disparagement arguments where presented as factual customer misconduct and used with competitor redirection. It may also support harassment context.

UNITED KINGDOM: This may contribute to serious-harm defamation under the Defamation Act 2013 if the context conveys factual assertions, not mere abuse. It also supports harassment-pattern arguments under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997.

CANADA: This may support civil defamation where the words are understood as factual allegations lowering reputation. It also supports repeated harassment context if assessed with the thread as a whole.

6. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/ktpfv26/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This deleted or unavailable Reddit comment is included because it forms part of the same Reddit thread and abuse pattern. It should be assessed against the archived evidence and the surrounding live thread.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: Even where a comment is deleted or unavailable, it remains relevant if Reddit hosted, preserved, served, logged, indexed internally, or allowed it to contribute to the defamatory thread. It also supports the pattern of repeated publication and removal/reposting behaviour.

AUSTRALIA: This is relevant to notice, publication history, republication, serious harm, and cyber-abuse pattern under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) and Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: This may support defamation/harassment evidence where archived copies or Reddit records show publication and harm. It may also support notice and platform-failure arguments.

UNITED KINGDOM: This may support serious-harm and harassment-pattern evidence where archived publication shows the comment formed part of the course of conduct.

CANADA: This may support civil defamation and harassment context if evidence shows the comment was published and contributed to the wider campaign.

7. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kyv8inc/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment accuses the operator of creating fake accounts, being a "hack", being delusional, needing therapy, and having private complaints against them. It also states the user "found a better bot", tying the attack to competitor promotion.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is not merely rude wording. It reinforces the false narrative that the affected sole trader is dishonest, mentally unstable, unsafe to deal with, and professionally inferior, while redirecting users to competitors. In the context of the broader thread, it supports both personal cyber-abuse and commercial sabotage.

AUSTRALIA: This contributes to defamation and serious harm under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), and to adult cyber-abuse context under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth), because it is part of repeated targeted harassment.

UNITED STATES: This may support defamation, false-light, harassment, and commercial disparagement arguments depending on applicable state law and context. The competitor comparison element is relevant to unfair competition analysis.

UNITED KINGDOM: This may contribute to Defamation Act 2013 serious-harm issues and Protection from Harassment Act 1997 course-of-conduct issues.

CANADA: This may support civil defamation and harassment context because it is published, targets the affected person/service, and contributes to a repeated hostile narrative.

8. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kyy8xq7/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This deleted or unavailable Reddit comment is included because it forms part of the same Reddit thread and abuse pattern. It should be assessed with the live thread, archived evidence, and surrounding comments.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: The legal relevance is the same as other deleted/unavailable comments: if the content was published, archived, served, logged, or preserved by Reddit, it remains relevant to notice, publication history, repetition, and pattern evidence.

AUSTRALIA: Relevant to publication history, serious harm, notice, and cyber-abuse pattern under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) and Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: Relevant to defamation/harassment evidence, notice, platform failure, and Reddit's handling if Reddit hosted or retained the content.

UNITED KINGDOM: Relevant to harassment-pattern and serious-harm context if archived evidence shows it contributed to the thread.

CANADA: Relevant to civil defamation and harassment context if evidence shows publication to third parties.

9. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/kyxztrs/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This deleted or unavailable Reddit comment is included because it forms part of the same Reddit thread and abuse pattern. It should be assessed with the live thread, archived evidence, and related comments.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: The comment's deletion or unavailability does not remove its relevance where it was previously published or where it contributed to the broader thread that Reddit continues to host. It supports the argument that Reddit must assess the thread as a coordinated pattern, not just individual live comments.

AUSTRALIA: Relevant to publication history, serious harm, notice, and cyber-abuse pattern under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) and Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: Relevant to defamation/harassment evidence, notice, platform failure, and Reddit's handling if Reddit hosted or retained the content.

UNITED KINGDOM: Relevant to serious-harm and harassment-pattern context if archived evidence shows publication.

CANADA: Relevant to civil defamation and harassment context if evidence shows publication and contribution to the wider campaign.

10. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/nreb800/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment claims the operator is a "clown", unwilling to assist, treats people like garbage, and that lawsuits are "getting the better" of the operator. It then directly recommends a competing service instead.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is relevant because it combines reputational attack with competitor redirection. The comment is not merely saying "I prefer another service"; it frames the affected operator as abusive, unstable, legally compromised, and not worth users' time or money, then pushes users to a competitor.

AUSTRALIA: This contributes to defamation under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), especially when assessed with the whole thread and serious-harm threshold. It is also relevant to adult cyber-abuse context under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth) as part of the targeted pile-on.

UNITED STATES: This may support commercial disparagement, unfair competition context, and defamation depending on whether the factual claims are false and presented as fact. The direct competitor redirection is legally relevant.

UNITED KINGDOM: This may support Defamation Act 2013 serious-harm issues where the meaning is that the operator is abusive, unstable or commercially unfit. It may also support harassment-pattern context.

CANADA: This may support civil defamation and injurious falsehood/trade libel context if false statements are used to damage the service and redirect customers.

11. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/Int64ot/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment claims information was leaked with a database dump, that the operator uses FTP to destroy servers, wreaks havoc on the community, has SQL injection issues, hijacks user files using tokens, and sells and distributes user files for financial gain.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is one of the clearest unlawful comments. It directly accuses the affected person/service of hacking, data theft, database compromise, server destruction, token abuse, and selling customer files. These are serious criminal/dishonest factual allegations. They are not opinion. They are designed to scare users away from the affected service.

AUSTRALIA: This is defamatory under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) because it alleges serious criminal, dishonest and malicious conduct and is likely to cause serious harm. It also supports adult cyber-abuse under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth) as menacing, harassing and offensive targeted material.

UNITED STATES: This raises state-law defamation and trade libel/commercial disparagement issues. If competitor-linked, it may also support false advertising/unfair competition arguments because it misrepresents the nature, characteristics and safety of another service.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises serious-harm defamation under the Defamation Act 2013. It may also fit false-communications concerns under the Online Safety Act 2023 if the sender knew the claims were false and intended psychological or physical harm to a likely audience, and it contributes to harassment context.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation and potentially Criminal Code false-information/defamatory-libel concerns because it conveys serious false allegations of criminal and dishonest conduct. It may also contribute to criminal harassment context if part of repeated conduct causing fear for safety.

12. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/Int7b2s/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment says users should pay the operator's fee if they want to get hacked and have files stolen, and claims the service is broken and has poor security.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is a direct factual allegation that the affected service hacks paying users and steals files. It also asserts poor security and broken functionality. It is calculated to destroy user trust and prevent customers from using the service.

AUSTRALIA: This is defamatory under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) because it alleges hacking, theft, poor security, and dishonest conduct likely to cause serious harm. It also contributes to adult cyber-abuse context under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: This raises state-law defamation, trade libel/commercial disparagement, and potentially unfair competition issues if competitor-linked or used to divert customers. It falsely represents the service as dangerous and criminal.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises Defamation Act 2013 serious-harm issues because hacking and file theft accusations are grave factual imputations. It may also support false-communications and harassment context when assessed with the whole campaign.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation and potential false-information/defamatory-libel concerns because it accuses the service of hacking and theft. It may also support harassment context where repeated as part of a campaign.

13. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/l5xli3/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment claims the operator banned a customer with no refund and scams people for tokens and money.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is a false factual accusation of fraud, token misuse, and dishonest business conduct. It directly tells readers the affected operator scams people for money and access tokens. That damages both personal reputation and business trust.

AUSTRALIA: This is defamatory under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) because it alleges fraud and dishonest business conduct. It is likely to cause serious harm to a sole trader whose business depends on user trust. It also contributes to the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth) cyber-abuse pattern.

UNITED STATES: This raises state-law defamation and commercial disparagement issues. If made in a competitor-linked thread or used to divert customers, it may support unfair competition analysis.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises Defamation Act 2013 serious-harm issues because allegations of scamming and token misuse are serious factual allegations. It may also support harassment-pattern evidence.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation because it identifies the affected operator/service, is published, and imputes fraud. It may also raise false-information concerns where knowingly false and intended to injure.

14. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/l5a15bj/>

WHAT THIS URL IS: This Reddit comment claims the affected service has malicious code, removed and edited files without permission, changed server settings improperly, and that the operator has harmed server owners in the past.

WHY THIS IS UNLAWFUL / LEGALLY ACTIONABLE: This is a direct accusation that the software is malicious and that the operator has a history of harming customers. It is not a vague opinion. It asserts specific technical misconduct and unauthorised file/server interference. It is highly damaging because the affected service depends on users trusting its code, permissions, and integrations.

AUSTRALIA: This is defamatory under the Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) because it alleges malicious software, unauthorised file changes, customer harm, and dishonest technical conduct. It also contributes to adult cyber-abuse context under the Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth).

UNITED STATES: This raises state-law defamation, trade libel/commercial disparagement, and potentially unfair competition issues where false technical claims are used to damage or divert customers from a service.

UNITED KINGDOM: This raises Defamation Act 2013 serious-harm issues because concerns where knowingly false and intended to injure.

14. <https://www.reddit.com/r/DayZDiscussions/comments/19e9o29/comment/l5a15bj/> malicious code and unauthorised file/server interference allegations are serious factual imputations. It may also support false-communications and harassment context where knowingly false and harmful.

CANADA: This raises civil defamation and potential injurious falsehood/trade libel concerns because it falsely attacks the safety and integrity of the service. If knowingly false and intended to injure, it may also support Criminal Code false-information concerns.

LEGAL REFERENCES RELIED ON

AUSTRALIA

- Defamation Act 2005 (NSW), including serious harm under s 10A and digital intermediary/access-prevention issues under s 31A.
- Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth), including cyber-abuse material targeted at an Australian adult and removal-notice framework.
- Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth) s 474.17, use of a carriage service to menace, harass or cause offence.
- Australian Consumer Law and common law causes including misleading or deceptive conduct context, injurious falsehood, malicious falsehood, and commercial disparagement.

UNITED STATES

- State defamation law, generally requiring a false statement of fact, publication to another person, fault, and reputational harm, subject to First Amendment limits.
- 18 U.S.C. § 2261A, cyberstalking/stalking, where online services are used as part of a course of conduct intended to harass, intimidate, or cause substantial emotional distress.

- State-law trade libel, commercial disparagement, tortious interference, unfair competition, and consumer protection pathways where false claims are used to harm or divert business.
- 47 U.S.C. § 230 may affect platform liability for third-party content in the United States, but it does not make the original publisher's statements lawful and does not prevent Reddit from voluntarily removing or restricting content under legal, policy, or safety processes.

UNITED KINGDOM

- Defamation Act 2013, including s 1 serious harm, s 2 truth, s 3 honest opinion, and s 13 power to order removal/cessation of distribution.
- Protection from Harassment Act 1997, including course-of-conduct harassment and civil/criminal remedies.
- Online Safety Act 2023, including false communications and threatening communications offences.
- Communications Act 2003 s 127 / Malicious Communications Act 1988 remain relevant for some communications depending on timing, jurisdiction, and the specific offence pathway.

CANADA

- Canadian civil defamation law, including the test stated by the Supreme Court of Canada in *Grant v Torstar*: defamatory meaning, reference to the plaintiff, and publication to at least one person other than the plaintiff.
- Criminal Code s 301, defamatory libel.
- Criminal Code s 264, criminal harassment.
- Criminal Code s 372, false information, indecent communications, and harassing communications.

Reddit's continued hosting of the reported defamatory URLs is legally unreasonable because Reddit has been placed on notice that the material is false, abusive, competitor-linked, commercially harmful, and targeted at an Australian adult and sole trader.

This is not a request for Reddit to mediate a personal disagreement. The affected person has provided the specific Reddit URLs, the exact nature of the allegations, the competitor-linked context, the cross-platform pattern, the failed reporting history, the platform blocking/reporting defect, and the applicable legal frameworks.

A generic response stating that one Australian restricted-content form does not apply is not a lawful or adequate answer to a broader legal complaint involving defamation, harassment, cyber abuse, commercial disparagement, platform manipulation, reporting-path defects, and false allegations of criminal conduct.

Reddit should remove or restrict the reported thread and comments, preserve all related records, and explain in writing if it refuses to act why it continues to host false allegations of hacking, fraud, data theft, malicious code, server destruction, token misuse and customer sabotage after repeated notice.

Regards,

Anthony "Soul" Brodie

Owner, Legion Killfeed

Sole Trader - ABN 28 387 377 607

NSW, Australia

From: Soul Legion <legionkillfeed@outlook.com>

Sent: Tuesday, 28 April 2026 12:20 PM

To: Reddit Support <support+id1JWZ96-J4NNE@reddit.zendesk.com>

Cc: Ben L <ben.lee@reddit.com>; Irena D <irena.dotcheva@reddit.com>

Subject: Re: [Reddit Support] Re: Your request sent to Reddit Support

Reddit Legal Support,

Your response incorrectly treats this matter as a single-channel "Australia Restricted Content" report. That is not the scope of my complaint.

This email is a formal multi-jurisdictional legal notice, preservation demand, and escalation request. It must be referred to Reddit Legal, Trust & Safety, Compliance, and any team responsible for legal complaints, platform abuse, data preservation, and data-protection obligations.

1. Reddit is hosting false allegations of criminal conduct

The material I have reported states, as fact, that I and/or my business:

- hack servers;
- steal, sell, or misuse customer files and data;
- use malicious code, FTP abuse, or SQL injection to damage servers;
- scam users for money and tokens;
- infiltrate, damage, or wipe Discord servers;
- misuse customer access for unlawful or dishonest purposes.

These are direct, verifiable allegations of criminality, dishonesty, computer misuse, data misuse, fraud, theft, sabotage, and professional misconduct.

They are false.

This is not opinion, criticism, or an ordinary user dispute. The content presents serious factual allegations as truth. It is being used to damage my personal reputation and my sole-trader business, where my personal identity and business identity are inseparable in practice.

2. Reddit has notice of competitor involvement and platform manipulation

Reddit has been placed on notice that the material appears connected to direct competitors in the DayZ server/killfeed space.

The most serious allegations have been repeatedly posted or amplified by accounts linked to competitor activity, including u/Brief_Ordinary1438 and related conduct connected to Kamikaze420 / DayZ Skynet. A known operational competitor also participated in the same environment before deleting material, while other accounts repeated the same allegations shortly after.

The pattern is not random. It indicates coordinated platform manipulation, commercial disparagement, and the use of Reddit as a publication vehicle to inflict reputational and financial harm through false criminal allegations.

Reddit has been notified about this thread, these accounts, and the competitor context since 2024. Continued refusal to meaningfully review or remove the material after notice may be relied upon as evidence of continuing publication, continuing harm, and unreasonable complaint handling.

3. This engages multiple legal and regulatory pathways

Your response does not address the legal basis of the complaint. This matter is not limited to the Australia Restricted Content Reporting Form.

The complaint engages, among other things:

Australia

- Online Safety Act 2021 (Cth), including cyber-abuse material targeted at an Australian adult;
- Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth) s 474.17, regarding use of a carriage service to menace, harass, or cause offence;
- Defamation Act 2005 (NSW) and uniform Australian defamation law;
- injurious falsehood, malicious falsehood, and commercial disparagement;
- Australian Consumer Law issues where competitors use false claims to divert customers or damage trade;
- privacy, doxxing, data-handling, and platform-record preservation issues.

United States

Reddit may seek to rely on Section 230 for third-party content, but that does not resolve issues arising from Reddit's own conduct, including notice handling, escalation failures, preservation failures, moderation conduct, misclassification of legal complaints, and any representations Reddit makes about safety, reporting, or complaint pathways.

I reserve all rights concerning California law, federal and state unfair competition pathways, trade disparagement, tortious interference, negligence, consumer protection complaints, and reports to relevant Attorneys General or federal agencies.

European Union / EEA

Where the material is accessible, indexed, processed, reported, or handled in a way that engages EU users or EU systems, this may raise issues under the Digital Services Act, including notice-and-action obligations, complaint-handling obligations, transparency obligations, and illegal-content review processes.

It may also engage GDPR and national defamation, harassment, privacy, and data-protection laws where personal information, identifying allegations, platform records, or inaccurate personal data are involved.

United Kingdom, Canada, New Zealand, Ireland, and other common-law jurisdictions

The same material is globally accessible and may engage defamation, malicious falsehood, harassment, harmful digital communications, privacy, data-protection, commercial disparagement, and platform accountability pathways in any jurisdiction with an arguable connection to publication, access, indexing, processing, or harm.

4. Formal preservation demand

Reddit must preserve all records connected to this matter, including but not limited to:

- reported posts, comments, deleted comments, edited comments, and removed comments;
- account data for the reported users;
- IP logs, session logs, device/browser metadata, login metadata, and account-linking indicators;
- moderator actions in r/DayZDiscussions;

- Automoderator actions and moderation logs;
- modmail and internal moderator communications available to Reddit;
- Zendesk records, internal notes, triage labels, escalation notes, and ticket history;
- all records relating to Request #17726555 and prior related reports;
- all internal communications concerning the reported URLs, accounts, subreddit, or complaint handling.

This is a litigation hold. Any deletion, alteration, failure to preserve, or avoidable loss of relevant records after this notice may be raised as spoliation or destruction of evidence in later proceedings, subpoenas, regulator complaints, law-enforcement reports, or discovery requests.

5. Required action by 5 May 2026

I require Reddit to do the following by 5 May 2026:

1. Confirm this matter has been escalated to Reddit Legal, Trust & Safety, Compliance, and the appropriate legal complaint team.
2. Confirm Reddit has preserved all relevant records listed above.
3. Reassess the reported Reddit thread and comments as a legal complaint involving defamation, commercial disparagement, targeted harassment, cyber abuse, competitor platform manipulation, and false allegations of criminal conduct.
4. Remove, restrict, or disable access to the reported defamatory and abusive material.
5. Provide the correct Reddit legal pathway if Reddit says the Australia Restricted Content Reporting Form is not the correct channel.
6. Explain what action Reddit will take regarding the r/DayZDiscussions moderation issue, including the apparent selective removal of rebuttals and supportive comments while leaving competitor-friendly defamatory material accessible.
7. Confirm whether Reddit will treat the reported accounts and subreddit activity as coordinated abuse, platform manipulation, or bad-faith commercial activity.

6. Notice of escalation

If Reddit refuses to act, or continues to issue generic “wrong form” responses, I will escalate Reddit’s handling of this matter to every regulator, authority, and enforcement body with an arguable jurisdictional connection, including:

- eSafety Commissioner, including ongoing matter ACA-2025-0729927;
- Australian Federal Police;
- NSW Police;
- Office of the Australian Information Commissioner;
- Australian Competition & Consumer Commission;
- NSW Fair Trading;
- Commonwealth Ombudsman;
- US Federal Trade Commission;
- California Attorney General;
- Washington State Attorney General;
- relevant EU Digital Services Coordinators;
- relevant EU/EEA data-protection authorities;
- UK, Canadian, New Zealand, Irish, and other applicable regulators or enforcement bodies.

This is not a request for Reddit to mediate a private disagreement. Reddit has been placed on notice that its platform is hosting false factual allegations that accuse an identifiable Australian adult and sole trader of criminal conduct. The allegations are damaging, search-visible, commercially motivated, and repeatedly reported.

A generic response that the matter does not fit one Australian restricted-content form is not an adequate legal response. Please confirm by 5 May 2026 that Reddit has escalated this matter, preserved the relevant records, and commenced a proper legal review of the reported content and moderation conduct.

Regards,
 Anthony “Soul” Brodie
 Owner, Legion Killfeed
 Sole Trader - ABN 28 387 377 607 (Trading as Legion Killfeed)
 NSW, Australia

From: Reddit Legal Support (Reddit Support) <support@reddit.zendesk.com>

Sent: Tuesday, 28 April 2026 12:56 AM

To: Legionkillfeed <legionkillfeed@outlook.com>

Subject: [Reddit Support] Re: Your request sent to Reddit Support

- Please type your reply above this line -##

Your request (17726555) has been updated. To add additional comments, reply to this email.



Reddit Legal Support (Reddit Support)

Apr 27, 2026, 07:56 PDT

Please note that the Australia Restricted Content Reporting Form is exclusively for content categories covered under the Australian Online Safety Act and related Industry Safety Codes. We have determined that your report does not fall within these categories.

If you would like to report a potential violation of our sitewide [Reddit Rules](#) rather than submit a legal complaint, you can use the [report button](#) next to the content you'd like to report in the app or on [reddit](#) (if you have a Reddit account) or you can file a report [here](#) (if you do not have a Reddit account).

Sincerely,

Reddit Legal Support

This email is a service from Reddit Support.

[1JWZ96-J4NNE]